# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Urmita Datta (Sen) Member (J) & Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

OA-1499 of 2009

Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

Vs The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Date of order.  1  2  32  05.10.2018  For the applicant  It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filling of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas <u>Vs</u> The State of West Be	, Č
1  32 35.10.2018  For the applicant  It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filling of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents	Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
The counsel for the applicant was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents parallel for the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents	Date of order.	2	
For the applicant : Mr. M. Karim, Mr. M.K. Palodhi, Learned Advocates.  For the respondent : Mr. S. Ghosh, Learned Advocate.  It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents	1		
O5.10.2018  Mr. N.K. Palodhi, Learned Advocates.  For the respondent:  It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents			3
Learned Advocates.  For the respondent: Mr. S. Ghosh, Learned Advocate.  It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents	32	For the applicant : Mr. M. Karim,	
It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents	05.10.2018		
It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Learned Advocates.	
It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Fouther researchest Aug C. Check	
It is noted that on the earlier occasion though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		·	
though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Ecumed Navocate.	
though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents			
though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents			
Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		It is noted that on the earlier occasion	
filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		though last chance was granted for filing of reply to the	
further last chance to file reply. It is observed that supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Supplementary Application but till date no reply has been	
supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		filed. However, counsel for the respondent has prayed for	
supplementary application was filed in the year 2010 itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		funther last showed to file works. It is absorbed that	
itself and since then the respondents have not filed any reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		Turther last chance to life reply. It is observed that	
reply to the same.  The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		supplementary application was filed in the year 2010	
The counsel for the applicant has also raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		itself and since then the respondents have not filed any	
raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		reply to the same.	
raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents			
reply to the supplementary application. In this background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		The counsel for the applicant has also	
background, we have heard the matter today. However, during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		raised objection to allow the respondents for filing of	
during the course of hearing one chance may be granted to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		reply to the supplementary application. In this	
to the respondent to make submissions with regard to the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		background, we have heard the matter today. However,	
the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		during the course of hearing one chance may be granted	
Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		to the respondent to make submissions with regard to	
Governor after imposing punishment. The respondents		the regularisation of leave issued by the Hon'ble	
	Page /1		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

**Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
32	are at liberty to file reply. However, no further chance	
05.10.2018	will be allowed. However, we make it clear that reply	
	must be filed at least 7 (seven) days before the next date	
	of hearing. The matter will appear on 19.11.2018 at	
	3.00 p.m. as a Specially Fixed Matter.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)  MEMBER(A) MEMBER (J)	
sc		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

**Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Page /2		
10 14.09.2018		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

**Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Page /3  10  14.09.2018		
P/4		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

**Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
10		
14.09.2018		
P/5		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

**Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u>10</u> 14.09.2018		
sc		

Form No. Dr. Sachindra Nath Biswas

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
Date of order.		of parties when necessary
1		3